\$61

15

cluded within charge(s) for which he has been tried. If the accused is found guilty of one or more of the specifications but not of the charge, a lesser charge should be moved and voted on. If the accused is found guilty, the chair announces that the next item of business is the determination of the penalty. One of the managers for the society usually makes a motion for a penalty the managers feel appropriate (see pp. 624–25); this motion is debatable and amendable. As in the case of an offense committed at a meeting, on the demand of a single member both the question of guilt and the question of the penalty must be voted on by ballot. For expulsion, a two-thirds vote is required.

f) After voting is completed, the accused is called back into the hall and advised of the result.

In general, in any trial within a society, an accused should be found guilty of a charge only when his guilt, by its nature, has created a situation such that the best intercests of the organization or the profession it represents require a finding of guilty and the imposition of a penalty. A member who votes for a finding of guilty at a trial should be morally convinced of the existence of this kind of guilt on the part of the accused, on the basis of the evidence he has heard.

Assembly's Review of a Trial Committee's Findings. If the trial has been held before a trial committee instead of the assembly of the society, this committee reports to the assembly in executive session (9) the results of its trial of the case, with resolutions—in cases where its finding is one of guilty—covering the penalty it recommends that the society impose. The report should be prepared in writing and should include, to the extent possible without disclosing confidential information which should be kept within the committee, a summary of the basis for the committee's finding. Unless the

report exonerates the accused, he should then be permitted—himself, or through his counsel, or both, as he prefers—to make his statement of the case, after which the committee should be given the opportunity to present a statement in rebuttal. The accused—and his counsel if not member(s)—then leave the room, and the assembly acts upon the resolutions submitted by the committee. The members of the committee should remain and vote on the case the same as other members of the society. Under this procedure, the assembly can decline to impose any penalty, notwithstanding the trial committee's recommendation; or it can reduce the recommended penalty; but it cannot increase the penalty. The assembly cannot impose a penalty if the trial committee has found the accused not guilty.

COMMITTEE ON DISCIPLINE. In some professional societies and other organizations where particular aspects of discipline are of special importance, the handling of such matters is simplified by providing in the bylaws for a standing Committee on Discipline (see 50, 56). Its prescribed duties are normally to be alert to disciplinary problems, to investigate them, to introduce all necessary resolutions, and—in event of a trial—to manage the case for the society. This committee may also have the duty of hearing the actual trial, in which case it should be large enough that a subcommittee can perform the confidential investigation as described on pages 632-33. Under the latter practice, the full Committee on Discipline adopts the charge and specifications, and the chairman of the committee sends the citation to the accused and presides at the trial, which is conducted just as it would be if held before the assembly. It is generally best not to empower the committee to impose a penalty, however, but to require it to report its recommended disciplinary measures to the society for action, just as in the case of a special committee to hear